ABSTRACT
The support vector machine (SVM) has been recently proposed for blind equalization of constant modulus signals. In this paper we extend this previous work in two directions: first, the high computational cost of the original procedure is significantly reduced by transforming the original quadratic programming (QP) problem into an equivalent least squares problem. Secondly, the penalty term of the SVM is now a Godard-like error function; therefore, the proposed procedure allows the equalization of multilevel signals. A dual mode algorithm is also proposed: once convergence is achieved, the Godard-like penalty term is switched to a radius directed-like error function, which reduces the final intersymbol interference (ISI) level. Simulation experiments show that the proposed SVM equalization method performs better than cumulant-based methods: it requires a lower number of data samples to achieve the same equalization level and convergence ratio.

1. INTRODUCTION
In most digital communication systems the channel introduces intersymbol interference (ISI), which distorts the original transmitted sequence and can make it unrecoverable. In this case, channel equalization is typically employed to reduce, or ideally to completely remove, the ISI. When a reference sequence is not available, blind equalization techniques are necessary. These methods rely on the knowledge about the probabilistic or statistical properties of the transmitted signals [1,2].

In this paper we will focus on algorithms working at symbol rate. In this case, two broad classes of algorithms can be identified. On one hand, the so called on-line techniques, which are typically based on the maximization/minimization of a cost function by means of stochastic gradient descent (SGD) methods. The most representative method of this class is the well known CMA algorithm [3,4]. In the other hand, batch techniques collect a block of data and iteratively maximize/minimize a cost function, commonly based on cumulants. To this class of methods belongs the super-exponential algorithm, proposed by Shalvi and Weinstein [5].

Support Vector Machines (SVM) are state-of-the-art tools for linear and nonlinear input-output knowledge discovery [6]. SVM’s have been successfully applied to linear and nonlinear supervised equalization problems. Recently, this framework has been used to formulate the blind equalization of constant modulus signals [7,8] (see therein references to SVM-based equalization methods). This method is implemented by means of an iterative re-weighted quadratic programming (IRWQP) technique, which requires a high computational burden.

In this paper we propose a new SVM-based method to solve the blind equalization problem. The cost function incorporates a Godard or CMA-like error function as the penalty term and the solution is obtained by means of an iterative re-weighted least squares algorithm (IRWLS). For constant modulus signals, this solution provides basically the same results as the method in [8] with a notably lower computational burden. Moreover, like CMA, the error function allows to extend the method to multilevel modulations. In this case, a dual mode algorithm is proposed. Dual mode equalization techniques are commonly used in communication systems working with multilevel signals. Practical blind algorithms for multilevel modulation are able to open the eye of the constellation but they usually exhibit a high residual error. In a dual mode scheme, once the eye is opened by the blind algorithm, the system switches to another algorithm, which is able to obtain a lower residual error under a suitable initial ISI level. The most common choices are decision directed equalization [9] and radius directed equalization [10].

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 the blind equalization problem is formulated and CMA and the super-exponential methods are outlined. The proposed algorithm is presented in Section 3. Section 4 show the performance of the method by means of some experimental results. Finally, Section 5 include some concluding remarks.

2. PROBLEM FORMULATION
The usual baseband representation of the blind equalization problem in a digital communication system is shown in Fig. 1.

\[ s_k h_n + n_k \rightarrow x_k \rightarrow w_n y_k \]

Figure 1: Block diagram for blind equalization.

The transmitted data is modeled as a sequence of i.i.d. symbols, \( s_k \), belonging to the alphabet of the corresponding modulation. This sequence is transmitted through a linear time-invariant channel with impulse response coefficients \( h_n \). Considering a baud rate system, the output of the channel is given by

\[ x_k = \sum_{n=0}^{L_c-1} h_n s_{k-n} + n_k, \]

where \( L_c \) is the channel length and \( n_k \) is a zero-mean white Gaussian noise sequence.

The blind equalizer will operate on the channel output to reduce the ISI introduced by the channel. In this paper a linear equalizer will be implemented by means of an FIR filter of length \( L_w \). In this case, the equalizer output is given by

\[ y_k = \sum_{n=0}^{L_w-1} w_n s_{k-n} = x_k^T \mathbf{w}, \]
where \( w \) is the vector of filter coefficients to be adapted by the blind equalization algorithm. The Godard algorithms [3] adapt the equalizer to minimize the following cost function

\[
J_G(w) = E \left[ \left( |y|^2 - R_p \right)^2 \right].
\]

The ratio \( R_p \) contains the a priori knowledge about the current modulation,

\[
R_p = \frac{E[|x_k|^p]}{E[|y_k|^p]},
\]

subject to \( E[|x_k|^2] = E[|y_k|^2] \).

3. MULTILEVEL SVM-BASED BLIND EQUALIZATION

In this section we formulate two variants of the proposed method: a blind algorithm and a radius directed algorithm. For multilevel modulations, these two algorithms can be used in a dual mode equalization scheme: the blind algorithm is used until convergence is obtained; then, a switch to the radius directed algorithm will allow to reduce the residual ISI.

3.1 Blind algorithm

Given a data block of \( N \) symbols, the proposed algorithm minimizes the following SVM-based cost function

\[
L_p(w) = \frac{1}{2} ||w||^2 + \epsilon \sum_{i=1}^{N} L_e(u_i),
\]

where

\[
L_e(u) = \begin{cases} 
0, & u < \epsilon \\
\frac{u^2}{2} - \epsilon u + \epsilon^2, & u \geq \epsilon
\end{cases}
\]

is a \( \epsilon \)-insensitive quadratic loss function modified to guarantee a continuous derivative. Continuity of the derivative is necessary for the numerical stability of the algorithm. To apply this cost function to the problem of blind equalization, a suitable penalization term, \( u_i \), has to be selected. Here, we propose to use \( u_i = |e_i| \) with the error term \( e_i \) being

\[
e_i = |y_i|^2 - R_2 = y_i^2 - R_2.
\]

\( R_2 \) is the Godard constant [3] for \( p = 2 \) and superindex * denotes the complex conjugate.

To optimize the proposed cost function an iterative re-weighted least square (IRWLS) procedure is employed. This procedure has been successfully applied to solve SVM’s [11] and it has recently proven to converge to the SVM solution [12]. To obtain the IRWLS algorithm, a first order Taylor expansion of \( L_e(u) \) leads to the cost function

\[
L'_p(w) = \frac{1}{2} ||w||^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^{N} L_e(u_i') + \frac{dL_e(u)}{du} \left. \right|_{u_i'} |u_i - u_i'|
\]

where \( u_i' = |e_i'| \) and \( e_i' = |x_i^T w_k|^2 - R_2 \) is the error term after the \( k \)-th iteration. Then, a quadratic approximation is constructed as follows:

\[
L''_p(w) = \frac{1}{2} ||w||^2 + C \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} L_e(u_i) + \frac{dL_e(u)}{du} \left. \right|_{u_i} (u_i - (u_i)^2) \right)
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} ||w||^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} a_i |e_i|^2 + CTE.
\]

CTE represents constant terms that do not depend on \( w \), and the weights \( a_i \) are

\[
a_i = \frac{C}{u_i^2} \left. \frac{dL_e(u)}{du} \right|_{u_i} = \begin{cases} 
0, & u_i^k < \epsilon \\
\frac{2(u_i^k - \epsilon)}{u_i^2}, & u_i^k \geq \epsilon
\end{cases}
\]

The functional \( L''_p(w) \) is a quadratic approximation to \( L_p(w) \) in [3] that presents the same value \( L''_p(w_k) = L_p(w_k) \) and gradient \( \nabla_w L''_p(w_k) = \nabla_w L_p(w_k) \) for \( w = w_k \). Therefore, we can define \( p_k = w_k - w_k^* \) as a descending direction for \( L_p(w) \), where \( w_k^* \) is the least square solution to [6], and we can use it to construct a line search method [13], i.e. \( w_k^{k+1} = w_k + \eta_k p_k \). The value of \( \eta_k \) can be computed using a backtracking line search [13], in which \( \eta_k \) is initially set to 1 and if \( L_p(w_k^{k+1}) \geq L_p(w_k^*) \), it is iteratively reduced until a strict decrease in the functional in [3] is observed. To obtain the solution to \( L_p(w) \), its gradient is equated to zero

\[
\nabla_w L_p(w) = w + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{N} a_i \left( x_i^T w y_i^2 - R_2 \right) x_i^T w x_i^* = 0.
\]

This is a nonlinear function of \( w \). To circumvent this nonlinearity, the equalizer output \( y_i \) is considered fixed, which leads to

\[
\nabla_w L''_p(w) = w + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{N} a_i \left( x_i^T y_i y_i^2 - R_2 \right) y_i x_i^* = 0.
\]

This equation can be expressed in matrix notation

\[
2X^HD_n D_{|y|^2} X + I|w = 2R_2 X^H D_n Y,
\]

where \( X^T = [x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_N] \), \( D_n \) is a diagonal matrix with diagonal elements \( a_i \) and \( D_{|y|^2} \) is another diagonal matrix with diagonal elements \( |y_i|^2 \). Superindex H denotes the hermitian operator.

3.1.1 Implementation details

The equalizer is initialized with a tap-centered scheme. This initialization has experimentally demonstrated a good convergence behavior under a number of different kind of channels (see results under random channels in Section 4).

With respect to parameters \( C \) and \( \epsilon \), although further research is necessary to determine their optimal values, simulations have shown that the algorithm is not very sensitive to its choice. Typically, values of \( C = 10 \) and \( \epsilon = 0.01 \) produce suitable results under a wide range of channels and signal to noise ratios.

Finally, the IRWLS procedure is summarized in Table 1.

3.2 Radius directed algorithm

The radius directed algorithm is formulated by replacing \( R_2 \) in the blind algorithm by the radius \( R_k \), which is defined as follows

\[
R_k = \min_{R_k} \{|y|^2 - R_k|\}.
\]

Here, \( R_k \) are the different values of \( |y|^2 \) in the underlying signal constellation. For instance, for a 16QAM with levels \( \pm 3, \pm 1 \) in
1. Initialization: initialize $w^0$ with tap-centered strategy, obtain $y_i$ by (1), $e_i$ by (4), calculate $a_i = |e_i|$ and compute $a_j$ from (6). Set $k = 0$.

2. Compute $w^k$ by solving (7) and set $\eta^k = 1$.

3. Set $w^{k+1} = w^k + \eta^k (w^k - w^k)$. If $L_p(w^{k+1}) < L_p(w^k)$ go to Step 5.

4. Set $\eta^k = \rho \eta^k$ with $0 < \rho < 1$ and go to Step 3.

5. Recompute $e_i$, $u_i$ and $a_i$, set $k = k + 1$ and go to Step 2 until convergence.

Both the phase and quadrature components, $R_k = \{2, 10, 18\}$. With this simple modification, the error term to be penalized is

$$e_i = |y_i|^2 - R_{2i},$$

and the matrix system to obtain the solution $w^*$ becomes

$$[2X^HD_nD_yX + I]w = 2X^HD_nD_RY.$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

$D_R$ is a diagonal matrix with diagonal elements $R_{2i}$. The corresponding IRWLS algorithm is the same one summarized in Table 1 with the following differences:

- Step 1: since this algorithm is used as the second step of a dual-mode algorithm, $w^0$ is the value of $w$ provided by the blind algorithm.
- Steps 1 and 5: $e_i$ is evaluated by (8), instead of (4).
- Step 2: $w^*$ is obtained by solving (9), instead of (7).

### 4. SIMULATION RESULTS

In this section, simulation results for constant modulus signals and multilevel signals are presented. As a figure of merit we use the ISI defined as

$$ISI = 10 \log_{10} \frac{\sum |\theta|_2}{\max_\theta |\theta|_2^2},$$

where $\theta = h \ast w$ is the combined channel-equalizer impulse response.

#### 4.1 Constant modulus signals

In the first example, the proposed blind algorithm, labeled Blind-SVM in the following, is tested with a QPSK modulation ($\sigma_k = \{\pm 1, \pm j\}/\sqrt{2}$) and the following channel

$$H_1(z) = \frac{0.7 - z^{-1}}{1 - 0.7z^{-1}} e^{j\pi/4}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

The proposed method is compared with the super-exponential algorithm proposed by Shalvi and Weinstein [5], labeled SW-algorithm in the following. We used an equalizer of length $L_n = 17$ taps, with tap-centered initialization. Parameters $C = 10$ and $\epsilon = 0.01$ are selected. Signal to noise ratios (SNR) of 30 dB and 10 dB are considered. Figs. 2 and 3 show the ISI and the probability of convergence for different data block sizes, respectively. For each data block size, both algorithms were tested in 200 Monte Carlo trials.

The Blind-SVM method provides better results than the SW-algorithm, specially for short data blocks. These results are almost equal to the results provided by the IRWQP algorithm presented in [8] (they are not included in the figures because they basically overlap the Blind-SVM results). In this case, the proposed method, which solves the SVM by a IRWLS algorithm instead of a IRWQP algorithm, has the advantage of requiring a notably lower computational burden since the original quadratic programming (QP) problem is now formulated as a least squares problem.

### 4.2 Multilevel signals

A 16-QAM modulation, with $\sigma_k = \{\pm 3, \pm 1\} + j \{\pm 3, \pm 1\}$, will be used to test the performance of the proposed methods for multilevel signals. In this second example, the proposed Blind-SVM and the dual mode algorithm, which starts with the blind algorithm and after convergence is achieved switches to the proposed radius directed SVM algorithm, are compared again with the SW-algorithm. The dual mode algorithm will be labeled DM-SVM in the following. 1000 Monte Carlo simulation with random channels of length $L_n = 7$ and a SNR=30 dB have been averaged. Again, $L_n = 17$, $C = 10$ and $\epsilon = 0.01$ are used. Figs. 4 and 5 compare the mean residual ISI and the percentage of convergence of these methods. It is necessary to remark that the DM-SVM algorithm has the same percentage of convergence as the Blind-SVM algorithm because this is the initial stage, responsible of the convergence, of the DM-SVM algorithm.

Again, the proposed methods outperform the SW-algorithm. The SVM-based methods exhibit a higher percentage of convergence, obtaining good convergence rates even for very short block sizes. Moreover, the residual ISI is lower than the one obtained by the SW-algorithm. As expected, the DM-SVM method produces a better final solution than the Blind-SVM algorithm, as the radius directed algorithm achieves a better final ISI under a suitable initialization.
Figure 4: Mean ISI level after convergence (final ISI under -5 dB) vs. data block size for the proposed Blind-SVM and DM-SVM algorithms and the SW-algorithm. 16-QAM input and 1000 random channels with a SNR=30 dB.

Figure 5: Percentage of convergence vs. data block size for the proposed Blind-SVM algorithm (and consequently for the DM-SVM algorithm) and the SW-algorithm. 16QAM input and 1000 random channels with a SNR=30 dB.

Finally, to illustrate the convergence of the IRWLS algorithm, Fig. 6 shows the ISI versus the number of iterations of the IRWLS algorithm used in the Blind-SVM method for channel $H_1(z)$, a 16QAM input, a SNR=30 dB and a data block size $N = 750$ (the percentage of convergence for this value is 100%). It can be seen that all experiments have converged in less than 10 iterations.

5. CONCLUSION

A new SVM-based method to address blind equalization of constant modulus and multilevel signals has been presented. The error function to be penalized is defined as the difference between the equalizer output and the $R_p$ parameter of the CMA algorithm. An IRWLS algorithm is employed to obtain the equalizer minimizing the proposed cost function. For constant modulus constellations, this algorithm provides basically the same results as the IRWQP method in [3] with a lower computational burden. For multilevel signals, a dual mode algorithm is proposed. In this case, after initial convergence of the blind algorithm is achieved, a switch to a radius directed error function is performed. The proposed method performs better than the SW-algorithm for constant modulus as well as for multilevel input signals. In both cases, the SVM-based methods present a higher percentage of convergence for a given data block and they reach a lower residual intersymbol interference.
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